Date IES Completed:

## 

## Intelligence Evaluation Sheet

TION I				
Does the information satisfy your Intelligence Collection Requirement?	YES	IN PART	NO	CANNOT BE DETERMINED

## ECTION II

This section deals exclusively with the accuracy of the information provided in this report only. Disregard any previous data or IES forms you may have already received when completing this section of this form. Responses are scaled from 0-4 utilizing the following explanatory criteria:

- 0 Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.
- 1 Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.
- 2 Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevnt information is reported.
- 3 Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.
- 4 Data correctly fulfills tasking in a manner which positively enhances current holdings and operational interest in this site by reporting on heretofore unknown data.

Approved For Release 2000708/08	8 : CIA-RDP96-00	789R00200015000	02-2	}
- Specific target functions, i.e., nuclear testing, CBR storage/research, missile testing, etc.	<ul> <li>General target functions, i.e., research, production, training, storage, troop billets, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Small scale manmade structures, i.e., antennae, computers, vehicles, missiles, small buildings, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Large scale manmade objects, i.e., cities, railyards, silos, docks, etc.</li> </ul>	- Geographic locale description, i.e., terrain, bodies of water, mountains, etc.
0	0	0	0	0
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	— н	<u> </u>
~	~	2	n	2
ω,	ω	ω	ω	ω
4	4	4	4	4
UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN
CANNOT BE DETERMINED	CANNOT BE DETERMINED	CANNOT BE DETERMINED	CANNOT BE DETERMINED	CANNOT BE DETERMINED

V	20 <del>0</del> 0/08/08 : CIA-RDP96-0	- c T	.CT1	
Hase informa beam labell importance? Information slitter of paper	20 <del>0</del> 0/08/08 : CIA-RDP96-0	00789R0020	00€150002-2	
info lab tan mat	VERY	se on se		しているとして
nforma labell lance? tance? mation of pap		1.0	Data of importa plans, hostile	Personali site, i.e tions, ac responsib political support f
mation led a le? It on that paper	useful	heck wh target, te).	(D) D) →h	ona i
tion preved erroned If.so, fat was that was ser for your properties.	۳	<del></del> -	Data of pre importance, plans, prep hostile int	
n previou erroneous f.so, ple at was pro	•	nde 1 be	dict i.e arat entic	es de vit iti
on previously erroneous, in If.so, please hat was previous for your con		rst	predictive nce, i.e., preparations intentions.	ties at ides- tivities, ilities, proclivity, or governmen
sly provi , irrelev ase expla eviously comments		ch best describes (understanding th	• 00	s at des- ities, ties, oclivity, government.
rov ele xpl xpl sly ent	USEFUL	ing ing		
idec vent ain dis		EDU		0
information previously provided to you by labelled erroneous, irrelevent or whose rtance? If.so, please explain by noting rmation that was previously discounted art of paper for your comments.		ວ ຄ		
you who noti ntec		ne reliability of subsequent inform		
~		iab: quer	H	
this releve the II	MA			· · ·
releven the IES	RGIN	y of nfor		
	AL	the	2	2
2 2 2 2	MARGINAL VALUE	e tr ion	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0 3	m	ity of the information information could rais		
taining not be number r curre		mat: 1d r	ω	ω
ng i		ion cais		
to teter	<b>X</b>	provided, e or lowe		
his min ny) eva	NO VALUE	/ide ^ lo	4	
tar ed, and luat				<b>□</b> □ □
g to this target which may have initidetermined, been found to have subsetif any) and the specific nature of ent reevaluation. Please use a separ		based r this		
whi of o	•		<u>\$</u>	C NK
ch i und eci lea		on the interin	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN
may to fic se u		i e m s		
hav hav nat	CAN	nowr	CANI	CANI DETI
e ir e su ure a se	CANNOT BE	da	IRMI RMI	R S
initially subsequent e of the separate	CANNOT BE DETERMINED	on the knowr data perta interim assessment at a	CANNOT BE DETERMINED	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
ially equen the rate		ert at		
7		 □		

ously rated and the reasons for the current reassessment. Please use separate sheet of paper for your comments. rated "Of Value" (or better), been subsequently found to be of a lesser rated reliability or erroneous in nature? If so, please explain by noting the IES control number and the specific nature of the information that was previ-Has information previously provided to you by this office pertaining to this target which may have initially been

NOTE: below, (or additional sheets of paper as necessary), in any format you deem adequate to convey your better aid us in providing high thoughts. This organization solicits your quality intelligence to the consumer. frank and informal comment or any aspect of this project which Please feel free to use could the space